

## P313

### Blockade of peripheral $\sigma_1$ -receptors increases morphine-induced antinociception.

JM Entrena<sup>2</sup>, C Sánchez-Fernández<sup>1</sup>, R González-Cano<sup>1</sup>, A Artacho<sup>1</sup>, D Zamanillo<sup>3</sup>, JM Baeyens<sup>1</sup>.  
<sup>1</sup>University of Granada, Department of Pharmacology, Biomedical Research Center and Institute of Neurosciences, 18012, Spain, <sup>2</sup>University of Granada, Scientific Instrumentation Center, 18100, Spain, <sup>3</sup>Laboratorios Esteve, Department of Pharmacology, Drug Discovery and Preclinical Development, 08041, Spain

#### Antecedents

Several studies showed that the intracerebroventricular administration of  $\sigma_1$ -receptor antisense oligodeoxynucleotides and of haloperidol, a non-selective  $\sigma_1$ -receptor antagonist, enhances morphine antinociception against heat-induced pain. Together, these studies suggest the presence of a tonically active anti-opioid  $\sigma_1$  system in the central nervous system (CNS). Morphine also produces antinociception through peripheral opioid receptors. However, it is unknown whether the blockade of  $\sigma_1$ -receptor outside the CNS is able to increase the peripherally-mediated opioid antinociception. Therefore, our aim was to study the local antinociceptive effect of morphine when injected into the hind paw alone and associated to several selective  $\sigma_1$ -receptor antagonists. Moreover, we compared the peripheral antinociception induced by morphine in wild-type and  $\sigma_1$ -receptor knockout ( $\sigma_1$ -KO) mice.

#### Methods

Experiments were performed in CD-1 wild-type (WT) and  $\sigma_1$ -KO mice weighing 25-30 g. Non-punctate nociceptive mechanical stimuli (450 g pressure) were applied alternatively to both hind-paws with a rounded tip cone-shaped paw-presser Analgesimeter (Ugo Basile, Italia) until the mouse showed a struggling behavior or 50 s had passed (cut-off time). The antinociceptive effect of intraplantar (i.pl.) administration of morphine and morphine co-administered i.pl. with several selective  $\sigma_1$ -receptor antagonists [BD-1063 (12.5-200  $\mu$ g/20 $\mu$ l), BD-1047 (50  $\mu$ g/20 $\mu$ l), NE-100 (50  $\mu$ g/20 $\mu$ l), S1RA (100  $\mu$ g/20 $\mu$ l)], was tested 5 minutes after injection into the right hind paw. The density of  $\mu$  opioid receptors was measured with [<sup>3</sup>H]DAMGO (15 nM) binding assays in hind-paw skin from WT and  $\sigma_1$ -KO mice.

#### Results

Morphine (50, 100 and 200  $\mu$ g, i.pl.) produced no antinociception in WT mice (struggle response latencies were:  $1.66 \pm 0.17$ ,  $1.29 \pm 0.10$  and  $1.58 \pm 0.20$  s, respectively); however, it produced a dose-dependent antinociceptive effect in  $\sigma_1$ -KO mice ( $14.5 \pm 1.12$ ,  $26.42 \pm 3.49$  and  $48.69 \pm 3.93$  s; respectively). This effect was locally-mediated because antinociception was observed in the injected paw but not in the non-injected paw of  $\sigma_1$ -KO mice ( $1.12 \pm 0.08$ ,  $1.5 \pm 0.22$  and  $1.13 \pm 0.09$  s). Statistically significant differences between the values obtained in the injected and non-injected hind paws in  $\sigma_1$ -KO mice. [<sup>3</sup>H]DAMGO binding characteristics were the same in hind-paw skin from WT ( $0.030 \pm 0.002$  pmol/mg of protein) and  $\sigma_1$ -KO mice ( $0.0296 \pm 0.001$  pmol/mg of protein), which indicates that there were no differences in the peripheral  $\mu$ -opioid receptors between both types of mice. In wild-type mice the antinociceptive effect of morphine (100  $\mu$ g, i.pl.) was potentiated by co-administration with all the  $\sigma_1$ -receptor antagonists evaluated. In contrast, none of the  $\sigma_1$ -receptor antagonists modified morphine-induced antinociception in  $\sigma_1$ -KO mice. Statistical analysis was carried out using two-way ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni test. The differences between values were considered to be significant when the value of p was below 0.05.

## **Conclusion**

These results suggest that blockade of peripheral  $\sigma_1$  receptors increase the peripherally-mediated antinociceptive effect of morphine.

*Supported by Junta-Andalucía (CTS-109), MEC (SAF2006-06122) and MEC-FPU (CSF & RGC).*