

The melanocortin receptor antagonist SHU9119 inhibits the anti-inflammatory/pro-resolving properties of α -MSH and D[Trp⁸]- γ -MSH in lipopolysaccharide stimulated chondrocytes.

V. C Can, I. C Locke, S. J Getting. University of Westminster, London, UK

Introduction: Infectious arthritis occurs when *E.coli* or other microorganisms lead to joint infection and increases in pro-inflammatory cytokines. Investigating how the body naturally produced anti-inflammatory proteins inhibit these pathways, may lead to the development of new therapies for these pathologies (1). The melanocortin agonists α -MSH and D[Trp⁸]- γ -MSH (2) display an important role in inhibiting inflammatory mediators and inducing pro-resolving anti-inflammatory pathways, in models of acute and chronic inflammation (1). The anti-inflammatory effects of these peptides are via a family of G-protein coupled receptors, of which five melanocortin receptors (MC) have been identified, with both MC₁ and MC₃ being promising candidates for modulation of the host inflammatory response in arthritic pathologies (3). This study aims to determine whether the MC_{3/4} antagonist SHU9119 modulates the anti-inflammatory, pro-resolving effects of α -MSH and D[Trp⁸]- γ -MSH in lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulated chondrocytes.

Methods: Human C20/A4 cell-line chondrocytes were plated at 1×10^6 cells/well in 24-well plates and were pre-treated with the pan-melanocortin agonist α -MSH (3 μ g/ml) (Sigma–Aldrich Inc. Poole, UK), and the MC₃ agonist D[Trp⁸]- γ -MSH (3 μ g/ml) (Phoenix Pharmaceuticals, Karlsruhe, Germany) (all dissolved in PBS) for 30mins prior to 0.1 μ g/ml of LPS (*E.coli*;111.60) (Sigma–Aldrich Inc. Poole, UK) stimulation for 6h. In separate experiments the cells were pre-treated with the MC_{3/4} antagonist SHU9119 (10 μ g/ml) (Bachem, Bubendorf, Switzerland) 1h prior to LPS stimulation (Time 0h). Cells were harvested to determine the anti-inflammatory protein heme-oxygenase 1 (HO-1) expression by western blot, whilst cell-free supernatants were collected and analysed for IL-6 and IL-8 release by ELISA. Data are expressed as Mean \pm SD of n=4 determination in quadruplicate. *P<0.05 vs. control.

Results: Cytokine analysis: LPS 0.1 μ g/ml caused a maximal release of IL-6 and IL-8 with 112.3 ± 6.1 and 314.7 ± 1.9 pg/ml respectively (P<0.05 vs. control). Pre-treatment of cells with α -MSH and D[Trp⁸]- γ -MSH caused a significant reduction in IL-6 (61% and 70% respectively) and IL-8 (71% and 59% respectively) following LPS stimulation. The antagonist SHU9119 completely attenuated the effect of α -MSH on IL-6 and IL-8 release, whilst significantly reducing the effect of D[Trp⁸]- γ -MSH for both cytokines.

HO-1 expression: LPS caused a 30% (0.70 fold) reduction in HO-1 protein expression compared to control, whilst pre-treatment of cells with α -MSH, and D[Trp⁸]- γ -MSH caused a significant increase in HO-1 expression with a 1.25 and 1.57 fold increase respectively. Pre-treatment with the antagonist SHU9119 inhibited both α -MSH and D[Trp⁸]- γ -MSH induced HO-1 expression.

Conclusion: In conclusion α -MSH and D[Trp⁸]- γ -MSH significantly inhibit pro-inflammatory cytokine release whilst inducing the anti-inflammatory protein HO-1. These effects are attenuated in the presence of the MC_{3/4} antagonist SHU9119, thus suggesting a potential role for the MC₃ receptor in mediating their anti-inflammatory effects in this model.

[1] Getting SJ, et al., *Pharmacol Ther* 111: 1-15, 2006.

[2] Getting SJ, et al., *Mol Pharmacol* 70:1850–1855, 2006

[3] Getting SJ, et al., *FASEB J* 20:2234-41, 2006.