Comparison of nitric oxide scavengers and synthesis inhibition on β -phenylethylamine- and phenylephrine-induced vasoconstriction of guinea-pig aorta

K. J. Broadley, H. D. Broadley. Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UNITED KINGDOM.

Introduction: Although β -phenylethylamine (PEA) is conventionally regarded as an indirectly acting sympathomimetic amine releasing noradrenaline onto α -adrenoceptors (1), vasoconstriction by PEA may also be via trace amine-associated receptors (TAARs), since we showed no α_1 -adrenoceptor antagonism by prazosin (2). Here we examine whether TAAR-mediated vasoconstriction of guineapig aorta to PEA is accompanied by release of nitric oxide (NO) using the NO synthase inhibitor, L-NAME or NO scavengers, curcumin and astaxanthin.

Method: Aortic rings (0.5 cm) from male Dunkin-Hartley guinea-pigs were immersed in Kreb's solution gassed with 5% CO₂ in O₂ at 37±0.5°C. 1.5 g resting tension was applied and isometric contraction measured using a computerized Power Lab, Chart 5 data acquisition system (ADInstruments). Cumulative concentration-response curves (CRC) for β -phenylethylamine hydrochloride (PEA) or (-)-phenylephrine HCI were obtained and after washout (x2), a second curve obtained in the presence of L-NAME (100µM), curcumin (100µM), astaxanthin (100µM), their vehicles, or nothing (controls). Contractions were measured by subtracting baseline tension from plateau responses and expressed as a percentage of the contraction to KCI (60mM) added at the end. Responses were compared by Student's paired t-test, P≤0.05 indicating significance.

Results: PEA and phenylephrine constricted aortae and in controls, the phenylephrine maximum increased from 70.7±4.1% to 78.9±4.9% (n=6) while L-NAME significantly potentiated from 78.2±3.2% to 93.1±1.2% (n=6). There was no change in the maximum PEA response in controls (65.7±6.0 and 74.8±1.4%, n=7) but L-NAME significantly potentiated the maximum from 45.1±2.8 to 101.3±8.0% (n=4). In endothelium-denuded aortae, the second CRC of PEA controls also showed a small enhanced maximum contraction from 68.4 ± 2.9 to $80.0\pm2.9\%$ (n=6). L-NAME caused significantly greater potentation from 60.8 ± 6.9 to $86.9\pm2.3\%$ (n=6). Potentiation of phenylephrine and PEA by L-NAME may arise from a fall in baseline between CRCs. However, when L-NAME was examined in denuded aortae with baseline adjusted back to 1.5g before the second CRC, the maximum was still significantly potentiated from 49.4 ± 6.0 to $83.6\pm3.9\%$ (n=5). Curcumin did not affect phenylephrine (maxima, 83.1 ± 5.9 and $85.0\pm1.2\%$) but significantly depressed the PEA maximum from 135.4 ± 41.5 to $70.0\pm1.9\%$ (n=5), while astaxanthin potentiated PEA.

Conclusion: PEA releases NO from non-endothelial sources causing underlying vasodilatation opposing the predominant TAAR-mediated vasoconstriction. NO inhibition is mimicked by the NO scavenger, astaxanthin but not by curcumin, which exerts opposing inhibitory actions on the TAAR response.

References:

- 1. Broadley KJ (2010). Pharmacol Ther 125: 363-375.
- 2. Broadley KJ et al (2013). Eur J Pharmacol **715:** 370-380.