Proceedings of the British Pharmacological Society at http://www.pA2online.org/abstracts/Vol18Issue1abst026P.pdf

A comparison of the selectivity of α and β -antagonists for the human α 1A, α 1B, α 1D, β 1 and β 2-adrenoceptors.

R. G. Proudman, J. G. Baker. Cell signalling, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom.

Introduction: Both α 1-adrenoceptor antagonists (e.g. doxazosin) and β 1-adrenoceptor antagonists (e.g. bisoprolol) are used to manage hypertension (1,2). α 1-antagonists are also widely used for benign prostatic hypertrophy (BPH). Clinically used β -blockers are not very selective for the β 1 over the β 2-adrenoceptor and thus are contraindicated in those who also have asthma (2). This study therefore compared the affinity and selectivity of ligands across the α 1 and β -adrenoceptor subtypes (α 1A, α 1B, α 1D, β 1 and β 2).

Methods: Stable CHO-K1 cell lines were generated expressing each of the human α 1-adrenoceptors (3). ³H-prazosin (α 1A, α 1B and α 1D) and ³H-CGP12177 (β 1 and β 2) whole cell binding assays were conducted (2hr incubation at 37°C (2)) with 134 ligands reported to interact with the human α 1 and β -adrenoceptors.

Results: The affinity (KD values) for ³H-prazosin were: $\alpha 1A 0.71nM$, $\alpha 1B 0.87nM$, $\alpha 1D 1.05nM$ (4) and ³H-CGP 12177 $\beta 1 0.42nM$, $\beta 2 0.17nM$ (2). Carvedilol, known as a dual $\alpha 1$ and β -blocker, had high affinity for all 5 receptors, whereas labetolol, also considered a dual antagonist, had poor affinity for the $\alpha 1B$ and $\alpha 1D$ -adrenoceptors (see Table 1). Subtype selective ligands (i.e. those with selectivity of >100-fold) were identified (Table 1) in agreement with previous studies (e.g. 1, 2). Of the 134 ligands examined, no ligand was found that was $\alpha 1B$ -selective. Whilst several drugs used for their neurological or psychiatric properties had high $\alpha 1A$ -adrenoceptor affinity (also see (4)), none had high $\beta 1$ -affinity. Lisuride, generally considered to be a dopamine and 5-HT ligand, was the only other ligand with high $\beta 2$ -adrenoceptor affinity (see Table 1).

Conclusion: Whilst subtype selective ligands exist for the $\alpha 1A$, $\alpha 1D$, $\beta 1$ and $\beta 2$ -adrenoceptors, no subtype selective ligand was found for the $\alpha 1B$ -adrenoceptor. Carvedilol had high affinity for all 5 adrenoceptors. Whilst most ligands regarded as α -blockers or β -blockers were indeed highly selective for their respective subtype, silodosin and naftapidil ($\alpha 1$ -antagonists) also had high affinity for the human $\beta 2$ -adrenoceptor, making these less attractive drugs for those with both benign prostatic hypertrophy and asthma. With the exception of lisuride, the other neurological or psychiatric ligands with high $\alpha 1$ -affinity had very poor affinity for the β -adrenoceptors. The selectivity of these ligands for the human $\alpha 2$ -adrenoceptors remains to be determined.

References:

(1)Docherty JR (2010) *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **67**: 405-417.
(2)Baker JG (2005) *Br J Pharmacol.* **144**: 317-322.
(3)Nojimoto FD et al., (2010) *Neuropharmacology* **59**: 49-57
(4)Baker et al., abstract at this meeting

| | Log KD α1A | n | Log KD a1B | n | Log KD a1 D | n | Log KD β1 | n | Log KD β2 | n |
|--------------------|--------------------|----------|--|----|--------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------|------------------|----------|
| Dual α1 and β-adre | noceptor antagonis | sts | | | | 202 - 202 202 - 202 | | | | аг 23 |
| carvedilol | -8.35 ± 0.06 | 12 | -7.84 ± 0.06 | 6 | -8.40 ± 0.05 | 12 | -8.75* | | -9.40* | 1 |
| labetolol | -7.32 ± 0.05 | 6 | -5.93 ± 0.03 | 6 | -6.02 ± 0.11 | 9 | -7.63* | 2 0 | -8.03* | |
| Non-selective a-an | tagonists | | | | | | | | | |
| alfuzosin | -7.98 ± 0.04 | 6 | -7.61 ± 0.07 | 5 | -7.65 ± 0.12 | 9 | >-3 | 5 | -4.26 ± 0.06 | 4 |
| cyclazosin | -8.89 ± 0.06 | 7 | -8.68 ± 0.08 | 5 | -9.59 ± 0.17 | 10 | No binding | 5 | -5.28 ± 0.05 | 4 |
| | | | | | -6.85 ± 0.15 | | | | | |
| | | | | | 65.1 ± 3.9% site 1 | | | a - 0 | | |
| doxazosin | -8.58 ± 0.09 | 6 | -8.46 ± 0.05 | 8 | -8.75 ± 0.10 | 10 | -4.72 ± 0.06 | 5 | -5.57 ± 0.01 | |
| ifenprodil | -7.66 ± 0.11 | 9 | -6.49 ± 0.07 | 6 | -7.57 ± 0.05 | 14 | -4.73 ± 0.06 | 4 | -5.10 ± 0.07 | 4 |
| | | | | | -5.25 ± 0.11 | | | | | |
| | | | | | 67.5 ± 3.4% site 1 | ~ | | | | |
| naftapidil | -7.97 ± 0.03 | 6 | -6.82 ± 0.06 | 6 | -7.63 ± 0.10 | 7 | -5.94 ± 0.08 | 4 | -7.41 ± 0.08 | 4 |
| phenoxybenzamine | -8.45 ± 0.12 | 12 | -7.69 ± 0.06 | | -8.22 ± 0.11 | 13 | -3.94 ± 0.11 | 5 | -4.34 ± 0.03 | 4 |
| | -6.02 ± 0.08 | | -5.57 ± 0.06 | | -5.48 ± 0.12 | | | ~ | | |
| | 77.7 ± 5.2% site 1 | | 67.5 ± 2.5% site 1 | | 54.0 ± 3.3% site 1 | 31 15 | | | | 3.5 |
| prazosin | -9.07 ± 0.04 | 8 | -8.74 ± 0.06 | 8 | -9.07 ± 0.05 | 10 | >-4 | 4 | -4.96 ± 0.10 | 3 |
| Rec15-2615 | -8.26 ± 0.10 | 6 | -7.79 ± 0.09 | 6 | -8.31 ± 0.09 | 6 | -4.71 ± 0.04 | 4 | -5.44 ± 0.04 | |
| RS17053 | -8.35 ± 0.10 | 10 | -6.59 ± 0.09 | 10 | -7.03 ± 0.12 | 10 | -5.46 ± 0.04 | 5 | -6.41 ± 0.07 | 5 |
| sertindole | -9.32 ± 0.08 | 8 | -8.37 ± 0.07 | 7 | -7.55 ± 0.10 | 10 | -5.06 ± 0.02 | 4 | -5.38 ± 0.05 | |
| tamsulosin | -9.67 ± 0.06 | 17 | -8.12 ± 0.04 | 15 | -9.57 ± 0.06 | 24 | -6.25 ± 0.08 | 4 | -6.09 ± 0.07 | 4 |
| | | 2010-011 | PL-9634-68-68777966-10-001 | | -5.85 ± 0.09 | 0.00 | | | | |
| | | | | | 67.9 ± 1.9% site 1 | | | | | |
| terazosin | -7.93 ± 0.05 | 6 | -7.95 ± 0.05 | 6 | -8.06 ± 0.06 | 6 | No binding | 4 | >-4 | 4 |
| urapidil | -7.21 ± 0.02 | 5 | -5.50 ± 0.07 | 7 | -6.16 ± 0.06 | 7 | -5.27 ± 0.05 | 4 | -4.98 ± 0.01 | 4 |
| WB4104 | -9.03 ± 0.04 | 10 | -7.39 ± 0.05 | 7 | -8.66 ± 0.11 | 19 | -4.40 ± 0.05 | 4 | -4.81 ± 0.09 | 4 |
| | | 10000 | | | -5.77 ± 0.15 | | | 1000 | | |
| | | | | | 63.8 ± 2.7% site 1 | 2 2 | | | | |
| Subtype-selective | a-adrenoceptor ant | agonis | | | | | | | | |
| 5-methyl-urapidil | -8.23 ± 0.05 | 5 | -6.06 ± 0.04 | 5 | -5.18 ± 0.13 | 7 | -6.12 ± 0.04 | 5 | -5.00 ± 0.07 | 5 |
| RS100329 | -9.60 ± 0.05 | 10 | -6.67 ± 0.07 | 7 | -7.45 ± 0.08 | 15 | >-4 | 4 | -4.74 ± 0.08 | 4 |
| | | | | | -4.78 ± 0.12 | | | | | |
| | | | | | 66.1 ± 3.4% site 1 | | | | | |
| silodosin | -9.67 ± 0.04 | 8 | -6.55 ± 0.08 | 8 | -7.20 ± 0.12 | 8 | -4.85 ± 0.04 | 4 | -7.58 ± 0.13 | 4 |
| BMY7378 | -6.61 ± 0.05 | 5 | -6.23 ± 0.05 | 6 | -8.56 ± 0.07 | 17 | -4.08 ± 0.01 | 3 | -4.34 ± 0.02 | 3 |
| | | | and a construction of a second of a solid of the | | -5.14 ± 0.15 | | | | | |
| | | | | | 66.1 ± 2.6% site 1 | | | | | |

Table 1. Log KD values (mean ± sem of n separate experiments) for ligands binding to the human α 1A, α 1B, α 1D, β 1 and β 2-adreno ceptors.Where a two-component inhibition of specific binding was seen, this is given as log KD site 1, log KD value site 2 and % response at site 1.

| | Log KD α1A | n | Log KD α1B | n | Log KD α1D | n | Log KD β1 r | ٦ | Log KD β2 | 1 |
|--------------------|------------------|--------|---------------|------|--------------------|----|----------------|----------------|--------------|---|
| Non-selective β-an | | - | | | | - | | | | _ |
| alprenolol | -6.28 ± 0.04 | 5 | -4.80 ± 0.10 | 6 | -5.09 ± 0.11 | 8 | -7.83* | | -9.04* | |
| bisoprolol | -3.92 ± 0.10 | 6 | >-3 | 5 | -3.91 ± 0.06 | 8 | -7.83* | | -6.70* | |
| bucindolol | -7.57 ± 0.07 | 5 | -6.46 ± 0.04 | 5 | -6.73 ± 0.12 | 8 | -9.31** | 12 | -9.99** | |
| carazolol | -6.57 ± 0.03 | 5 | -4.68 ± 0.05 | 6 | -5.71 ± 0.09 | 8 | -9.69** | | -10.49** | |
| CGP 12177 | -5.14 ± 0.05 | 6 | >-4 | 5 | -4.60 ± 0.06 | 7 | -9.21* | - 10 | -9.39* | |
| cyanopindolol | -5.59 ± 0.05 | 8 | -4.91 ± 0.09 | 7 | -5.64 ± 0.09 | 9 | -10.39** | | -11.09** | |
| pindolol | -5.40 ± 0.04 | 5 | >-4 | 5 | -4.88 ± 0.07 | 7 | -8.57** | 1 | -9.23** | |
| propranolol | -4.91 ± 0.02 | 6 | -3.98 ± 0.04 | 6 | -4.57 ± 0.09 | 8 | -8.16* | | -9.08* | |
| SDZ 21009 | -5.24 ± 0.07 | 6 | >-4 | 6 | -5.09 ± 0.05 | 9 | -8.94** | | -10.28** | |
| timolol | -4.67 ± 0.05 | 6 | >-3 | 6 | -4.22 ± 0.07 | 8 | -8.27* | | -9.26* | |
| Subtype selective | β-antagonists | | 29 29 | | | | | - 000 - 300 | 20 80 | |
| CGP 20712A | -4.93 ± 0.10 | 5 | >-4 | 5 | -5.29 ± 0.11 | 7 | -8.84 ± 0.14 8 | 3 | -5.73 ± 0.04 | |
| ICI 118551 | -5.23 ± 0.03 | 5 | -4.20 ± 0.06 | 5 | -4.88 ± 0.07 | 7 | -6.66 ± 0.03 |) | -9.54 ± 0.06 | 1 |
| Ligands considere | d as dopamin | e or 5 | HT ligands, S | SRIs | and antipsychotics | | | | | |
| amitriptyline | -8.19 ± 0.02 | 9 | -6.22 ± 0.05 | 9 | -6.44 ± 0.06 | 7 | -4.36 ± 0.06 8 | 3 | -4.99 ± 0.04 | |
| aripiprazole | -7.32 ± 0.09 | 5 | -6.71 ± 0.03 | 5 | -6.73 ± 0.13 | 7 | -6.13 ± 0.05 | | -6.68 ± 0.10 | |
| chlorpromazine | -8.94 ± 0.06 | 5 | -7.84 ± 0.05 | 5 | -8.17 ± 0.11 | 14 | -4.83 ± 0.05 | 5 | -5.11 ± 0.03 | |
| | | | | | -5.36 ± 0.07 | | | | | |
| | | | | | 63.2 ± 2.6% site 1 | | | | | |
| clomipramine | -8.16 ± 0.12 | 7 | -6.38 ± 0.08 | 7 | -6.26 ± 0.11 | 7 | -4.98 ± 0.04 | | -5.30 ± 0.07 | |
| clozapine | -8.27 ± 0.04 | 5 | -7.39 ± 0.07 | 5 | -7.22 ± 0.12 | 10 | -4.30 ± 0.10 € | 3 | -5.01 ± 0.14 | |
| | | | | | -4.70 ± 0.13 | | | | | |
| | | | - | | 74.9 ± 3.2% site 1 | | | | | 1 |
| dosulepin | -7.11 ± 0.04 | 5 | -5.28 ± 0.11 | 7 | -5.57 ± 0.08 | 7 | | 3 | -4.77 ± 0.09 | - |
| doxepin | -7.74 ± 0.04 | 5 | -6.18 ± 0.03 | 5 | -6.24 ± 0.12 | 7 | -4.06 ± 0.01 | 223 | -4.62 ± 0.02 | |
| dihydroergotamine | | 5 | -6.92 ± 0.08 | 5 | -7.28 ± 0.13ep | 9 | | 4 | -5.25 ± 0.01 | |
| haloperidol | -7.70 ± 0.03 | 5 | -7.21 ± 0.07 | 6 | -6.57 ± 0.12 | 8 | | 1 | -4.97 ± 0.03 | |
| lisuride | -7.94 ± 0.06 | 5 | -6.07 ± 0.04 | 5 | -7.54 ± 0.14 | 10 | -6.03 ± 0.06 | 5 | -7.48 ± 0.04 | |
| | | | | | -5.19 ± 0.21 | | | | | |
| | | | | 8 8 | 64.4 ± 4.1% site 1 | | | - | 2 | |
| nortriptyline | -7.74 ± 0.03 | 6 | -6.07 ± 0.07 | 5 | -5.95 ± 0.11 | 9 | -4.64 ± 0.13 | | -5.40 ± 0.08 | |
| olanzapine | -6.61 ± 0.11 | 7 | -6.00 ± 0.10 | 10 | -5.64 ± 0.12 | 9 | | 1 | -4.96 ± 0.05 | |
| risperidone | -8.77 ± 0.06 | 6 | -7.81 ± 0.04 | 6 | -7.41 ± 0.11 | 7 | | 1 | >-4 | |
| trimipramine | -7.37 ± 0.08 | 5 | -6.10 ± 0.06 | 5 | -5.90 ± 0.09 | 5 | | 5 | -4.70 ± 0.05 | - |
| ziprasidone | -8.75 ± 0.06 | 6 | -7.73 ± 0.07 | 7 | -7.39 ± 0.10 | 8 | No binding 4 | 1 | No binding | |

*data from Baker (2005) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **144:** 317-322. **data from Baker (2010) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **160:** 148-161.